

Delayed instantiation of existential variables in presence of a theory

Damien Rouhling

ENS Lyon

PSATTT13

Context

- Automated deduction
- Modulo theories
- PSYCHE
- First order?

Outline

- 1 First order proof search
 - First order rules
 - Modulo theories reasoning
- 2 Variables instantiation
 - Merging method
 - Sequentialized method
 - Equivalence criteria

Outline

- 1 First order proof search
 - First order rules
 - Modulo theories reasoning
- 2 Variables instantiation
 - Merging method
 - Sequentialized method
 - Equivalence criteria

Dealing with first order rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.A} \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.A}$$

Dealing with first order rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.A} \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.A}$$

Universal and existential ($?x$) variables :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.A} \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A[?x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.A}$$

Dealing with first order rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.A} \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A[t/x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.A}$$

Universal and existential ($?x$) variables :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A[x]}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x.A} \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A[?x]}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x.A}$$

Existential variables like $?x$ are solved by first-order unification at different points of the proof-tree.

Outline

- 1 First order proof search
 - First order rules
 - Modulo theories reasoning
- 2 Variables instantiation
 - Merging method
 - Sequentialized method
 - Equivalence criteria

The difficulty

- A theory as parameter
- Some function symbols are interpreted by the axioms
 $\exists x. (P(x + 1) \Rightarrow P(2))$
- Generic process? Unification modulo theories?

Module provided by the user (Black box): decision procedure

Outline

- 1 First order proof search
 - First order rules
 - Modulo theories reasoning
- 2 Variables instantiation
 - Merging method
 - Sequentialized method
 - Equivalence criteria

Black Box specification for pure first order

- Input: a sequent of the form $\Gamma \vdash P(u)$
- Behaviour: finds $P(t)$ in Γ , outputs $mgu(t, u)$, if it exists

This is denoted by $\text{Black Box}(\Gamma \vdash P(u)) \rightarrow mgu(t, u)$.

A simple idea

$$\frac{\text{Black Box}(\Gamma, P(t) \vdash P(u)) \rightarrow mgu(t, u)}{\Gamma, P(t) \vdash P(u) \rightarrow mgu(t, u)}$$

A simple idea

$$\frac{\text{Black Box}(\Gamma, P(t) \vdash P(u)) \rightarrow mgu(t, u)}{\Gamma, P(t) \vdash P(u) \rightarrow mgu(t, u)}$$

$$\frac{\text{sequent 1} \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \text{sequent 2} \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\text{sequent} \rightarrow mgu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}$$

Example 1

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(?y) \vdash P(f(?x))}{\vdash P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))} \quad \frac{P(f(z)) \vdash P(?x)}{\vdash P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x)} \\
 \hline
 \vdash (P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x)) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x))) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x))) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \forall z. \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x)))
 \end{array}$$

Example 1

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(?y) \vdash P(f(?x)) \rightarrow \sigma_1}{\vdash P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))}}{\vdash (P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x))}}{\vdash \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x)))}}{\vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x)))}}{\vdash \forall z. \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x)))}$$

$\sigma_1 = mgu(?y, f(?x))$ and $\sigma_2 = mgu(f(z), ?x)$ are given by the Black Box

Example 1

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(?y) \vdash P(f(?x)) \rightarrow \sigma_1}{\vdash P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x)) \rightarrow \sigma_1} \quad \frac{P(f(z)) \vdash P(?x) \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x) \rightarrow \sigma_2} \\
 \hline
 \vdash (P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x)) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x))) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x))) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \forall z. \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x)))
 \end{array}$$

$\sigma_1 = mgu(?y, f(?x))$ and $\sigma_2 = mgu(f(z), ?x)$ are given by the Black Box

Example 1

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{P(?y) \vdash P(f(?x)) \rightarrow \sigma_1}{\vdash P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x)) \rightarrow \sigma_1} \quad \frac{P(f(z)) \vdash P(?x) \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x) \rightarrow \sigma_2} \\
 \hline
 \vdash (P(?y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x)) \rightarrow \sigma \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(?x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(?x))) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x))) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \forall z. \exists x. \exists y. ((P(y) \Rightarrow P(f(x))) \wedge (P(f(z)) \Rightarrow P(x)))
 \end{array}$$

$\sigma_1 = mgu(?y, f(?x))$ and $\sigma_2 = mgu(f(z), ?x)$ are given by the Black Box
 $\sigma = \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2$ is $mgu(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$

Example 2

$$\vdash \exists x. (P(x) \Rightarrow \forall y. P(y))$$

Example 2

$$\frac{\vdash P(?x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y)}{\vdash \exists x.(P(x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y))}$$

Example 2

$$\frac{\frac{P(?x) \vdash \forall y.P(y)}{\vdash P(?x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y)}}{\vdash \exists x.(P(x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y))}$$

Example 2

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(?x) \vdash P(y)}{P(?x) \vdash \forall y.P(y)}}{\vdash P(?x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y)}}{\vdash \exists x.(P(x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y))}$$

Example 2

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(?x) \vdash P(y)}{P(?x) \vdash \forall y.P(y)}}{\vdash P(?x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y)}}{\vdash \exists x.(P(x) \Rightarrow \forall y.P(y))}$$

$?x \mapsto y$ is needed, but the side condition is no longer verified: check after instantiating.

Generalisation

$$\frac{\text{Black Box}(\text{sequent}) \rightarrow \sigma}{\text{sequent} \rightarrow \sigma}$$

Generalisation

$$\frac{\text{Black Box}(\text{sequent}) \rightarrow \sigma}{\text{sequent} \rightarrow \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\text{sequent 1} \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \text{sequent 2} \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\text{sequent} \rightarrow \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2}$$

The operator \wedge :

- is commutative and associative
- gives \perp if no solution
- behaves well with instantiations

Local knowledge of the proof tree \Rightarrow backtrack

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash ?y < 2?x \quad \vdash ?x > 3 \quad \vdash ?x < 6}{\vdash (?y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6)}}{\vdash \exists y. ((y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6))}}{\vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((y < 2x) \wedge (x > 3) \wedge (x < 6))}$$

Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash ?y < 2?x \rightarrow \sigma_0 \quad \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash (?y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6)}}{\vdash \exists y. ((y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6))}}{\vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((y < 2x) \wedge (x > 3) \wedge (x < 6))}
 \end{array}$$

$\sigma_0 = (?y \in]-\infty, 2?x[)$, $\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, +\infty[)$ and $\sigma_2 = (?x \in]-\infty, 6[)$.

Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash ?y < 2?x \rightarrow \sigma_0 \quad \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash (?y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow \sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 = \sigma}}{\vdash \exists y. ((y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6))}}{\vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((y < 2x) \wedge (x > 3) \wedge (x < 6))}
 \end{array}$$

$\sigma_0 = (?y \in]-\infty, 2?x[)$, $\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, +\infty[)$ and $\sigma_2 = (?x \in]-\infty, 6[)$.
 $\sigma = (?x \in \{4, 5\}, ?y \in]-\infty, 2?x[)$

Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash ?y < 2?x \rightarrow \sigma_0 \quad \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash (?y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow \sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 = \sigma}}{\vdash \exists y. ((y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6)) \rightarrow \sigma'} \sigma' = \text{bind}(\sigma)}{\vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((y < 2x) \wedge (x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow \sigma''} \sigma'' = \text{bind}(\sigma')
 \end{array}$$

$\sigma_0 = (?y \in]-\infty, 2?x[)$, $\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, +\infty[)$ and $\sigma_2 = (?x \in]-\infty, 6[)$.

$\sigma = (?x \in \{4, 5\}, ?y \in]-\infty, 2?x[)$

$\sigma' = (?x \in \{4, 5\})$

$\sigma'' = \emptyset$

Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash ?y < 2?x \rightarrow \sigma_0 \quad \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash (?y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow \sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 = \sigma} \quad \sigma' = \text{bind}(\sigma)}{\vdash \exists y. ((y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6)) \rightarrow \sigma'} \quad \sigma'' = \text{bind}(\sigma')}{\vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((y < 2x) \wedge (x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow \sigma''}
 \end{array}$$

$\sigma_0 = (?y \in]-\infty, 2?x[)$, $\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, +\infty[)$ and $\sigma_2 = (?x \in]-\infty, 6[)$.

$\sigma = (?x \in \{4, 5\}, ?y \in]-\infty, 2?x[)$

$\sigma' = (?x \in \{4, 5\})$

$\sigma'' = \emptyset$

Structures: a “substitution” maps each variable to a set of interval, according to its dependencies. \wedge does the good intersections.

Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash ?y < 2?x \rightarrow \sigma_0 \quad \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\vdash (?y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow \sigma_0 \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 = \sigma}}{\vdash \exists y. ((y < 2?x) \wedge (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6)) \rightarrow \sigma'} \sigma' = \text{bind}(\sigma)}{\vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((y < 2x) \wedge (x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow \sigma''} \sigma'' = \text{bind}(\sigma')
 \end{array}$$

The output “substitution” σ'' ($= \emptyset$) isn't \perp : we can instantiate.

Example

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdash \sigma(?y, \sigma'(?x)) < 2\sigma'(?x) \quad \vdash \sigma'(?x) > 3 \quad \vdash \sigma'(?x) < 6 \\
 \hline
 \vdash (\sigma(?y, \sigma'(?x)) < 2\sigma'(?x)) \wedge (\sigma'(?x) > 3) \wedge (\sigma'(?x) < 6) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists y. ((y < 2\sigma'(?x)) \wedge (\sigma'(?x) > 3) \wedge (\sigma'(?x) < 6)) \\
 \hline
 \vdash \exists x. \exists y. ((y < 2x) \wedge (x > 3) \wedge (x < 6))
 \end{array}$$

Outline

- 1 First order proof search
 - First order rules
 - Modulo theories reasoning
- 2 Variables instantiation
 - Merging method
 - **Sequentialized method**
 - Equivalence criteria

A practical point of view

$$\frac{\text{Black Box}(\text{sequent}, \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma'}{\sigma \rightarrow \text{sequent} \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

A practical point of view

$$\frac{\sigma \rightarrow \text{sequent 1} \rightarrow \sigma_0 \quad \sigma_0 \rightarrow \text{sequent 2} \rightarrow \sigma'}{\sigma \rightarrow \text{sequent} \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

or

$$\frac{\sigma_1 \rightarrow \text{sequent 1} \rightarrow \sigma' \quad \sigma \rightarrow \text{sequent 2} \rightarrow \sigma_1}{\sigma \rightarrow \text{sequent} \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

Example

$$\emptyset \rightarrow \vdash \exists x. ((x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow ?$$

Example

$$\frac{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow ?}{\emptyset \rightarrow \vdash \exists x. ((x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow ?}$$

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad ? \rightarrow \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow ?}{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow ?}}{\emptyset \rightarrow \vdash \exists x. ((x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow ?}$$

$$\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, \infty[)$$

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \sigma_1 \rightarrow \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow ?}}{\emptyset \rightarrow \vdash \exists x. ((x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow ?}$$

$$\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, \infty[)$$

$$\sigma_2 = (?x \in \{4, 5\})$$

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \sigma_1 \rightarrow \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow \sigma_2}}{\emptyset \rightarrow \vdash \exists x. ((x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow ?}$$

$$\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, \infty[)$$

$$\sigma_2 = (?x \in \{4, 5\})$$

Example

$$\frac{\frac{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash ?x > 3 \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \sigma_1 \rightarrow \vdash ?x < 6 \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\tilde{\emptyset} \rightarrow \vdash (?x > 3) \wedge (?x < 6) \rightarrow \sigma_2}}{\emptyset \rightarrow \vdash \exists x. ((x > 3) \wedge (x < 6)) \rightarrow \sigma} \sigma = \text{bind}(\sigma_2)$$

$$\sigma_1 = (?x \in]3, \infty[)$$

$$\sigma_2 = (?x \in \{4, 5\})$$

$$\sigma = \emptyset$$

Outline

- 1 First order proof search
 - First order rules
 - Modulo theories reasoning
- 2 Variables instantiation
 - Merging method
 - Sequentialized method
 - Equivalence criteria

With an “on-the-fly” instantiation system

- Soundness of the Black Box (dependencies)
- Generality of the “substitutions”
- Pseudo-generality of the instantiation choices
- Good backtracking

Between the two methods

- A leaf = a relation between “substitutions”
- A node = a relation composed with another
- Good relations: soundness and completeness of the Black Box
- Good backtracking

Conclusion

- Several instantiation schemes
- Some notions to clarify:
 - ▶ Stream production
 - ▶ Structure of the “substitutions” \Rightarrow structure of the merge operation
 - ▶ How to manage the choices?

Conclusion

- Several instantiation schemes
- Some notions to clarify:
 - ▶ Stream production
 - ▶ Structure of the “substitutions” \Rightarrow structure of the merge operation
 - ▶ How to manage the choices?

Thank you for your attention!